

Guidelines for aetiological investigation into mild to moderate bilateral permanent childhood hearing impairment

April 2015

Produced by
British Association of Audiovestibular Physicians

INDEX

| Topic | Page number |
|--|-------------|
| Background | 2 |
| Aim and scope | 2 |
| Timing of investigations | 3 |
| Who can undertake aetiological investigations? | 3 |
| Subjects | 4 |
| Search methodology | 4 |
| Keywords | 4 |
| Grade of evidence and recommendation | 5 |
| Guidelines for good practice | 6 |
| Level 1 investigations | 6 |
| Level 2 investigations | 11 |
| References | 14 |
| Appendix 1: Keywords | 20 |
| Appendix 2: Abbreviations | 20 |
| Appendix 3: Useful parent resources | 21 |
| Appendix 4: Audit tool | 21 |
| Appendix 5: Future research | 21 |
| Appendix 6: Authorship and Acknowledgements | 21 |
| Date of review | 22 |



NICE has accredited the process used by British Association of Audiovestibular Physicians (BAAP) to produce its clinical practice guidelines. Accreditation is valid for 5 years from March 2016.

More information on accreditation can be found at:
www.nice.org.uk/accreditation

Background:

There are several reasons why it is important to establish the cause of mild to moderate hearing loss [1]:

1. To answer the questions parents may have, “Why is my child deaf” and “Is the hearing loss likely to get worse?”
2. To identify syndromes and manage associated medical conditions e.g. thyroid dysfunction in Pendred syndrome, renal disease in Alport syndrome, etc.
3. Investigation of hearing loss may uncover conditions requiring medical management e.g. congenital toxoplasmosis, syphilis, etc.
4. Identification of conditions where timely treatment will prevent progression of the hearing loss e.g. congenital CMV, congenital cholesteatoma. Early diagnosis of congenital CMV infection means that the child will be eligible for treatment [currently recommended for infants less than four weeks of age] to prevent further loss of hearing.
5. To detect inner ear dysplasia in order to give appropriate advice: e.g.
 - on the risk of recurrent meningitis
 - on risk associated with head injury in EVA
6. To identify genetic causes and to inform genetic counselling e.g. recurrence of deafness in a future child, GJB mutation.
7. To conserve hearing in patients and to help counsel other family members e.g. in hearing loss due to mitochondrial mutations and aminoglycoside induced deafness.
8. To counsel families on the effects of balance disorder if significant vestibular hypofunction is detected.
9. The information from investigation of childhood deafness informs epidemiological research, helps healthcare planning and may improve future healthcare delivery.

Hearing loss may initially start as mild to moderate and progress to severe to profound. Several investigations are common to mild/moderate and severe/profound PCHI. These guidelines should be read in conjunction with those for severe/profound and unilateral PCHI. It may sometimes be difficult to pinpoint the aetiology of hearing loss despite comprehensive investigations and occasionally more than one aetiology may be identified for the hearing loss. The test results, hence should be interpreted in a clinical context.

Aim and Scope:

The aim of these guidelines is to update the evidence based approach to the investigation of the cause of bilateral mild to moderate PCHI. This is an update to the guidelines on aetiological investigation of bilateral mild to moderate permanent

hearing loss in children produced by BAAP/BAPA (2009). These guidelines were produced in line with the procedure detailed in the BAAP manual for producing guidelines [2].

These guidelines are for use in the United Kingdom but could be applied worldwide depending on local clinical expertise, test facilities and resources. The intended users of these guidelines are health practitioners with a special interest in Audiovestibular Medicine. The guidelines:

- Provide up to date advice on effective clinical practice
- Support staff in improving and benchmarking Audiovestibular Medicine services
- Identify audit measures for performance and review
- Promote patient safety and implementation of clinical governance

These guidelines are evidence-based and link their concluding recommendations to the evidence identified through a literature search [3]. They are not intended to restrict clinical freedom, but practitioners are expected to use the recommendations as a basis for their practice. Areas lacking in evidence may form the basis for future research.

Timing of investigations:

This will depend on the time window for the test, the family's readiness to proceed and how well the child can cooperate with the tests. The process of aetiological investigations is an ongoing one and it is important to revisit this periodically when:

[1] New medical information and new tests become available

[2] New symptoms develop e.g. goitre

[3] New information relating to family history becomes available e.g. hearing loss, renal failure.

[4] There is progression of hearing loss

[5] Parents or young deaf people request this

Who can undertake aetiological investigations?

A medical practitioner with the appropriate knowledge, skills and competencies can undertake aetiological investigations. Children should be referred appropriately when this service is not available locally [4]. It is the responsibility of the doctor providing the aetiology service to provide accurate and unbiased information to parents (or carers) and children if applicable about the investigations (pros/cons, outcomes and details of procedure etc). This should be done as soon as the hearing loss is confirmed so that they can make a well informed decision to have or not to have each investigation.

Subjects

These guidelines apply to children with bilateral permanent sensorineural, conductive or mixed hearing loss with an average hearing level of 20-69 dBHL measured in the better hearing ear at 0.5, 1, 2, & 4kHz. If there is an asymmetric hearing loss, the child should be investigated according to the worst hearing ear using the appropriate guideline e.g. if the average hearing level is >70 dBHL the guideline for severe to profound hearing loss should be used.

Search Methodology:

The literature search covered databases including Pubmed, Medline, Embase, AMED, BNI, CINAHL, HMIC, PsychINFO and Cochrane Library Database. The keywords detailed in Appendix 1 were used. The search was carried out by the librarian and one member of the guideline group [B]. All relevant articles including randomised control trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, observational studies, case reports and expert opinion were reviewed. Unpublished data from the BAAP National Audit and from the Clinical Virology Network guidelines was included due to its extreme relevance to the topic. Some review articles were referenced but not included to support recommendations in the guidelines. Case reports and series were included as there was paucity of references with level of evidence 1 and 2. Articles not available in English or only available in abstract forms were excluded. Relevant guidelines and standards from other national and international organisations were included in this review.

The literature search covered a period from 01/01/2008 to 30/08/2014. The abstracts of the list of articles obtained following the literature review were scanned to produce a list of articles relevant to the guideline. This was done by a member of the guideline group [B]. Full texts of all these relevant articles were obtained with the help of the librarian. In addition, full texts of all the references quoted in the earlier version of this guideline were reviewed with their cross references. Members of the guideline group [A and C-E] reviewed the full texts of the articles. The articles relevant to the guideline were graded for evidence level by members of the guideline group [B-C].

Keywords: [Appendix 1]

The keywords were guided by questions using the PICOT format:

- Population to which the question applies
- Intervention (e.g. or diagnostic test, exposure etc.) being considered in relation to this population
- Comparison(s) to be made between those receiving the intervention and those who do not receive the intervention
- Outcome(s) i.e. any effect caused by the intervention
- Timeframe (optional)

Grade of evidence and recommendation

The evidence from the full text articles was graded according to the Scottish intercollegiate Guideline Network [SIGN] grading system as follows [5]:

| Level of evidence | Definition |
|-------------------|--|
| 1++ | High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias |
| 1+ | Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a low risk of bias |
| 1- | Meta-analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a high risk of bias |
| 2++ | High quality systematic reviews of case control or cohort or studies High quality case control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal |
| 2+ | Well-conducted case control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal |
| 2- | Case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal |
| 3 | Non-analytic studies, e.g. case reports, case series |
| 4 | Expert opinion |

The strength of recommendations in this guideline is based on the SIGN grading of evidence as follows [5].

Recommendation A This recommendation is based on evidence rated as 1++ or 1+ directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results

Recommendation B This recommendation is based on evidence rated as 2++ or based on extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+ directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results

Recommendation C This recommendation is based on evidence rated as 2+ or based on extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2++ directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results

Recommendation D This recommendation is based on evidence rated as level 3 or 4 or based on extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2+

Guidelines for good practice

Aetiological investigations are categorized based on the available evidence, expected yield and considering the causes of PCHI in children. Level 1 investigations should be offered to all children and Level 2 investigations to children with specified indications.

Level 1 investigations include:

[1] Clinical history: [6-12] [Recommendation D]

| |
|---|
| Onset, duration and progress of symptoms |
| Speech and language: expressive , receptive, play skills |
| Balance, dizziness, tinnitus, hyperacusis |
| Antenatal History |
| ➤ Alcohol, drugs including recreational drugs |
| ➤ Diabetes, epilepsy |
| ➤ Maternal health during pregnancy |
| ➤ Results of antenatal scans and bloods |
| ➤ Medications |
| ➤ Radiation |
| ➤ Infections |
| Birth history |
| Postnatal history |
| ➤ Ventilation |
| ➤ Sepsis |
| ➤ NICU stay |
| ➤ Jaundice |
| ➤ Ototoxic medication |
| Developmental milestones |
| Family history |
| ➤ Ethnicity and consanguinity |
| ➤ Deafness |
| ➤ Speech /language delay |
| ➤ Thyroid/renal disease/ white forelock/heterochromia |
| ➤ Inherited conditions |
| ➤ Balance and visual difficulties |
| ➤ Developmental delay |
| ➤ Three generation family tree |
| Medical history |
| ➤ Head injury |
| ➤ Accidents |
| ➤ Noise exposure |
| ➤ Meningitis/ infectious illness |
| ➤ Immunisation |
| ➤ Ear disease |
| ➤ Ototoxic medication/radiation |
| Old records, photos, discharge summaries, parental illness record if available |

The history and examination are important not only for identifying aetiological factors in hearing loss but also for detection of conditions requiring medical management: e.g. cleft palate, cardiac lesions, skeletal anomalies. This is to be done with a problem solving approach rather than as a tick box exercise. A table of anamnesis for history and examination is given.

Timing of assessment: History should be taken when diagnosis of deafness is confirmed, at first visit.

2) Clinical examination: [6-10, 13] [Recommendation D]

| |
|--|
| Anthropometry: height, weight and head circumference including centile range |
| Clinical examination of craniofacial region |
| ➤ Dysmorphism |
| ➤ Ears: e.g. ear pits, tags |
| ➤ Neck: e.g. skin tags, sinus, webbing, goitre, scars |
| ➤ Oral cavity, palate, teeth |
| ➤ Nose examination |
| ➤ Otoscopy |
| ➤ Skull |
| Systemic examination |
| ➤ Skin: hypo- or hyper-pigmentation |
| ➤ Spine |
| ➤ Hands, Limbs, Nails: hypoplasia |
| ➤ Abdomen |
| ➤ Chest: heart murmur |
| ➤ Neurological assessment |
| Developmental assessment |
| Clinical vestibular examination |
| Eye examination |
| Examination of parents according to findings above e.g. for ear pits, dysmorphism, goitre |

Timing of assessment: Examination should be done when diagnosis of deafness is confirmed, at first visit, as soon as opportunity provides.

3) Family audiograms: [9, 14, 15] [Recommendation D]

Parents and siblings should have their hearing checked as mild hearing loss may be missed. This may be particularly helpful in interpreting genetic test results. (i.e. if parents' genotype indicates they may be affected, this can be confirmed).

Timing of assessment: Early, before the genetics referral.

4) CMV testing: (sensorineural hearing loss) [9, 16-25] [Recommendation B/C]

If the child is less than one year of age:

- Urine x 2 samples or saliva swab x 2 samples are sent for CMV DNA PCR
- Urine samples can be collected using a bag, a pad or balls of cotton wool. Saliva swabs should be left in the mouth until soaked [approximately one minute]. Precautions for avoiding breast feeding for the preceding 60 minutes must be taken to avoid the possibility of false positive results due to shedding of CMV in breast milk.
- Saliva swabs are comparable in sensitivity and specificity to the urine samples and have a practical advantage.
- If the infant is less than 3 weeks old at the time of the test, a positive test on either of saliva or urine sample can be taken as evidence of congenital CMV infection. If the infant is more than 3 weeks of age, the neonatal dried blood spot must be requested [with parental consent] for CMV DNA testing to confirm the diagnosis of congenital CMV infection.

If the child is more than one year of age:

- CMV IgG +/- Urine CMV DNA PCR
- If either is positive, request neonatal dried blood spot for CMV DNA testing. Checking the child's IgG is necessary to exclude congenital CMV.

Details required while requesting neonatal dried blood spot

- Signed parental consent form is required.
- Infant's name at the time of birth
- Mother's name and address at the time of the infant's birth
- Newborn screening laboratory address

Request that the dried blood spot is sent direct to virology laboratory, not the clinician. A positive result for CMV DNA PCR on the dried blood spot taken in the first 3 weeks of life confirms the diagnosis of congenital CMV, but a negative result cannot reliably exclude congenital CMV. It is best to check the sensitivity figures with virology laboratory used when interpreting the test result. Dried umbilical cord can also be used instead of the dried blood spot to confirm a diagnosis of congenital CMV. CMV DNA PCR may not be available worldwide but CMV urine culture or antigen testing may be used as alternative tests.

At any age:

Consider testing mother's CMV IgG. If negative, congenital CMV infection is excluded. This may sometimes be used to avoid venepuncture in the child. If mother's antenatal sample is available, consider mother's IgG avidity studies. A low avidity is indicative of recent CMV infection.

Timing of investigation: As soon as possible on suspecting the diagnosis of sensorineural hearing loss. The timing of this investigation is crucial given the implications of missing the window of opportunity for treatment, which is currently before the age of four weeks. A fast and reliable pathway should be developed locally to include the

audiologists, doctors and the testing laboratory in order to facilitate a timely diagnosis. Guidelines on antiviral therapy for congenital CMV may evolve in the next few years.

5) Blood test for GJB2 [Connexin 26] mutations including deletions involving GJB6 [Connexin 30] (sensorineural hearing loss) [9, 16, 26-38]: [Recommendation C]

- This is advisable in all cases of bilateral hearing loss, which is congenital in origin and where aetiology has not been determined.
- Informed consent should be taken from parents prior to genetic testing. Parents should be informed that DNA is stored in the laboratory after testing and that genetic testing can take a long time. Permission should be taken to share results with other family members/professionals (see guidelines for consent for genetic testing)
- Most laboratories will test for deletions involving Connexin30 when a request for Connexin26 is made and a separate request is unnecessary [43]. This will be evident from the test report.
- More widespread genetic testing for deafness will become available with the advent of Next Generation (Massively Parallel) sequencing where large numbers of genes can be sequenced rapidly and cost-effectively. In the case of non-syndromic deafness many genes can be tested simultaneously, without regard to phenotype but this will make interpretation of multiple novel or rare genetic variants more difficult initially. Guidelines for further genetic testing are likely to evolve over the next few years.

6) MRI of Internal Auditory Meati or CT scan of Petrous Temporal [9, 35, 36, 39-46]: [Recommendation C]

- Diagnostic radiological imaging is the highest yielding test for evaluating children with SNHL. The choice of imaging will depend on the clinical picture and the type of permanent hearing loss.
- MRI of Internal Auditory Meatus is the preferred investigation for SNHL due to the advantage of visualisation of the cochleo vestibular nerve, its cochlear branch and the posterior fossa. The fluid in the cochlea, fibrosis, and interscalar defects are often only visible on MRI. MRI of the brain should be included in the study to look at the auditory pathways and cortex depending on the diagnosis suspected. e.g. CMV
- CT is preferred in children with a permanent conductive component to their hearing loss. [It is useful to remember that EVA can cause a conductive component and MRI is the preferred scan].
- Both CT and MRI are indicated in bacterial meningitis [as either imaging modality alone is inadequate in detecting changes suggestive of fibrosis and ossification]. CT is useful to distinguish fibrosis from calcification

Timing of investigation: Soon after diagnosis, best within 3 months age in natural sleep if diagnosis follows newborn hearing screen [to avoid the need for sedation]. If the child is older sedation or GA may be required and this risk should be weighed with the benefit of early imaging. Imaging may be delayed until it can be performed with the cooperation of the child unless clinical features imply that earlier diagnosis is likely to improve

outcome or prevent complications.

7) Ophthalmic assessment: [9, 47-51] [Recommendation B/C]

20-60% of children with PCHI have ophthalmic abnormalities which can remain undetected and impact on the child's communication. Ophthalmic assessment is guided by the Vision care document by NDCS/SENSE.

The child should be referred for a full ophthalmic assessment at the following times:

- Following the diagnosis of PCHI
- At any time if parents or the education service have concerns
- At one to three years of age
- At four to five years of age [vision screening by an orthoptist, which is usually done at school and will include an assessment of visual acuity]
- At seven to nine years of age [where the cause of the deafness is unknown, an ophthalmologist assessment for signs of Usher syndrome].
- Transition to secondary school

The ophthalmic examination should include formal testing and recording of visual acuity, functional assessment of vision, refraction, visual field assessment, diagnosis of strabismus and eye movement anomalies, fundoscopy and assessment of binocular vision depending on the feasibility and age of the child.

Discuss performing ERG with the ophthalmologist to detect retinitis pigmentosa if there is evidence of vestibular hypofunction or there are symptoms suggestive of Usher syndrome e.g. night blindness, visual field loss.

Further ophthalmic monitoring will be determined by the underlying diagnosis e.g. Congenital CMV

8) Urine examination (labstix) for microscopic haematuria and proteinuria: [52-56] [Recommendation D]

Urine should be tested for haematuria and proteinuria particularly with a family history of renal disease. This should be repeated at least on one occasion as abnormalities may be missed with a single sample. Hearing loss due to Alport syndrome is not usually manifest until school age and is an unlikely diagnosis in a deaf infant. Urinary abnormalities may be detected in Branchiootorenal syndrome.

Timing: As soon as feasible, but depends on condition suspected.

Level 2 investigations

Level 2 investigations will be indicated from the history and clinical findings.

1) Serology: For congenital infection (sensorineural hearing loss) [9, 25, 57-62] [Recommendation C]

Mothers may be screened for these infections in pregnancy and these results should be obtained. As many of these babies can be asymptomatic at birth, if the testing or immune status of the mother is unknown it is best to investigate the neonate. These tests may also be done on maternal stored (booking) serum if available.

Syphilis: IgM-positive neonatal serum should be considered as evidence of congenital infection. TPHA and FTA-ABS tests [IgG] can be used to exclude congenital syphilis if the tests are non-reactive before the age of one year in an infant who has not received treatment.

HIV: is a known cause of sensorineural hearing loss in children and testing should be considered in 'at risk' pregnancies when the maternal HIV status is unknown. Testing may be done with adequate counselling in conjunction with an infectious disease unit.

Rubella

Up to 6 months of age: Child Rubella IgM

- If negative – Congenital rubella is unlikely. Consider confirming with a rubella IgG test at one year (but before MMR). Before this age detectable IgG may be of maternal origin.
- If positive – sample must be sent for further confirmatory testing [as positive predictive value of a single IgM test is poor]

Over 6 months of age: Child Rubella IgG at one year of age (before MMR vaccination only)

- If negative-excludes congenital rubella infection
- If positive – Rubella can be considered as a potential diagnosis

Toxoplasma:

If child is less than 1 year of age:

- Toxoplasma IgM: if persists more than one month age is indicative of congenital infection. If both Toxoplasma IgG and IgM are negative, congenital toxoplasmosis can be excluded
- Maternal toxoplasma IgG: If negative – excludes congenital Toxoplasma infection . If positive – congenital toxoplasma cannot be excluded, consider further

specialist investigation of child's and maternal blood (and antenatal maternal blood if available).

If child is over 1 year of age:

- Child Toxoplasma IgG
- Consider doing maternal Toxoplasma IgG

If either is negative – excludes congenital Toxoplasma infection. If both positive – further specialist investigation of child's and maternal blood (and antenatal maternal blood if available) may be indicated.

2) Further genetic testing / Chromosomal studies/CGH microarray:

Testing for syndromic forms of deafness is likely to become more widely available. Specific circumstances include:

- Testing for SLC26A4 in children with EVA [63],
- Testing for EYA1 if there is evidence of clinical features of BOR [64]
- Testing for m.1555A>G [65] if:
 - Exposure to aminoglycoside antibiotics
 - Progressive hearing loss
 - Mother/sibling with sensorineural hearing loss
 - High frequency sensorineural hearing loss

Chromosomal studies/CGH microarray is indicated if the child has

- History of developmental delay
- Dysmorphic features

Chromosome analysis is being replaced by more detailed CGH microarray. Laboratories may request parental bloods in order to be able to fully interpret findings.

3) Renal ultrasound: [9, 40, 66] [Recommendation D]

Indicated if the child has

- Preauricular pits or sinuses, deformity of ear[microtia, anotia, cup/lop ear], branchial cleft or cysts
- Mondini defect or EVA on imaging.
- Permanent conductive or mixed hearing loss
- Features suggesting syndrome with kidney involvement e.g. CHARGE

4) Haematology and Biochemistry: where clinically indicated [9, 41, 67, 68] [Recommendation D]

Routine laboratory evaluation with FBC, ESR, U & E, TFT should not be done considering its low diagnostic yield. Thyroid Function tests may be indicated if there is:

- Family history of thyroid disease
- Goitre, clinical symptoms/signs of thyroid disease

- EVA or Mondini deformity of cochlea . The onset of thyroid dysfunction in Pendred syndrome is usually in late childhood or early puberty and the tests should be timed accordingly.

5) Investigation into autoimmune diseases: [69, 70] [Recommendation D]

Where clinically indicated i.e. where there is evidence of systemic involvement [fever, joint symptoms, skin rash, ocular inflammation] or evidence of progressive hearing loss.

Tests may include antinuclear antibodies, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, DsDNA, RA factor, antiphospholipid, anticardiolipin, antithyroid antibody, antibodies to Sm, ESR, CRP and others as indicated.

6) Metabolic Screen on blood and urine: [Recommendation D] Where clinically indicated e.g. epilepsy, neurodegeneration.

7) Referral to Clinical Geneticist: This may be considered if

- Family history of hearing loss, parental consanguinity
- A syndrome is suspected,
- Child has multiple abnormalities,
- Parental request
- Opinion required on interpretation of genetic mutation testing

8) Diagnostic vestibular investigations: [71-72] [Recommendation D]

All children with mild to moderate PCHI should have a clinical vestibular examination. Consider further diagnostic vestibular investigations if:

- Motor milestones are delayed
- Progressive deafness
- Conditions known to be associated with vestibular dysfunction e.g. post-meningitis, Pendred
- Vertigo/dizziness
- Vestibular malformations

References

- [1] MacArdle B, Bitner-Glindzicz M. Investigation of the child with permanent hearing impairment. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed* 2010;95:14–23. [Review]
- [2] British Association of Audiovestibular Physicians manual for producing guidelines, Clinical Standards Subcommittee BAAP, 2013. [Guideline]
- [3] Woolf S, Grol R, Hutchinson A, et al. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ* 1999;318(7182):527-530.
- [4] Quality Standards in the NHS Newborn Hearing Screening Programme September 2010. [Quality Standard Document]
<http://hearing.screening.nhs.uk/standardsandprotocols#fileid10752>
- [5] Harbour R, Miller J. A new system for grading recommendations in evidence based guidelines. *BMJ* 2001;323:334-336.
- [6] General Medical Council [2013] Good Medical Practice London GMC.
http://www.gmc-uk.org/guidance/good_medical_practice.asp
- [7] Hampton J, Harrison M, Mitchell J, et al. Relative Contributions of History-taking, Physical Examination, and Laboratory Investigation to Diagnosis and Management of Medical Outpatients. *BMJ* 1975; 2:486-489. [level3]
- [8] Paley L, Zornitski T, Cohen J et al. Utility of Clinical Examination in the Diagnosis of Emergency Department Patients Admitted to the Department of Medicine of an Academic Hospital *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(15):1393-1400. [level 3]
- [9] Yield of investigations from the BAAP National Audit, 2013. Unpublished data, BAAP. [level3]
- [10] Mafong D, Shin E, Lalwani A. Use of Laboratory and Radiologic Imaging in the Diagnostic Evaluation of Children with Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Laryngoscope* 2002;112(1):1-7. [Level 3]
- [11] Cone B.,Wake M.,Tobin S et al. Slight-mild sensorineural hearing loss in children: audiometric, clinical, and risk factor profiles. *Ear and hearing*, 2010;31(2):202-212. [Level 3]
- [12] Robertson C,Howarth T,Bork D et al Permanent bilateral sensory and neural hearing loss of children after neonatal intensive care because of extreme prematurity: a thirty-year study.*Pediatrics* 2009;123(5):0. [level 2-].
- [13] De Jong T.,Toll M.,De Gier H et al. Audiological profile of children and young adults with syndromic and complex craniosynostosis. *Arch Otolaryngol - Head Neck Surg* 2011; 137(8):775-778.

[14] Stephens D. Audiometric investigation of first- degree relatives. In: Martini A, Mazzoli M, Stephens, D, Read A, eds. *Definitions, Protocols & Guidelines in Genetic Hearing Impairment*. London: Whurr Publishers 2001: 32-33.

[15] Stephens D, Meredith R, Sirimanna T, et al. Application of the Audioscan in the detection of carriers of genetic hearing loss. *Audiology*. 1995;34(2):91-7. [Level 2-]

[16] Declau F, Boudewyns A, Van den Ende J et al. Etiologic and audiologic evaluations after universal neonatal hearing screening: analysis of 170 referred neonates. *Pediatrics*, 2008; 121(6):1119-26[Level 2+]

[17] Enders G, Daiminger A, Bäder U, et al. The value of CMV IgG avidity and immunoblot for timing the onset of primary CMV infection in pregnancy. *J Clin Virol* 2013;56(2):102-7. [Level 2+]

[18] Boppana S, Ross S, Shimamura M, et al. Saliva Polymerase-Chain-Reaction Assay for Cytomegalovirus Screening in Newborns. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364(22):2111-2118. [level 2+]

[19] Boppana S, Ross S, Novak Z, et al. Dried blood spot real-time polymerase chain reaction assays to screen newborns for congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *JAMA* 2010;303(14):1375–1382. [level 2+]

[20] Barbi M ,Binda S, Primache V, et al. Cytomegalovirus DNA detection in Guthrie cards: a powerful tool for diagnosing congenital infection. *J Clin Virol* 2000;17(3):159-65. [level 2+]

[21] Williams E, Kadambari S, Berrington J, et al. Feasibility and acceptability of targeted screening for congenital CMV-related hearing loss. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2014: F1-7. [level 2+]

[22] de Vries J, van der Eijk A, Wolthers K, et al. Real time PCR versus viral culture on urine as a gold standard in the diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *J Clin Virol* 2012(2):167-70. [level 2-]

[23] Atkinson C, Walter S, Sharland M, et al. Use of stored dried blood spots for retrospective diagnosis of congenital CMV. *J Med Virol* 2009;81:1394–8. [level 2-]

[24] Tagawa M, Tanaka H, Moriuchi M, et al. Retrospective diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection at a school for deaf by using preserved dried umbilical cord. *J Paediatrics* 2009;155(5):749-751. [level 2-]

[25] Clinical Virology Network Guidelines. Unpublished data [Guideline]

[26] Lucassen A, Hall A. Consent and confidentiality in clinical genetic practice: guidance on genetic testing and sharing genetic information. A report of the Joint Committee on Medical Genetics. *Clin Med*. 2012 Feb;12(1):5-6

[27] Kenna M, Feldman H, Neault M, et al. Audiologic phenotype and progression in GJB2 [Connexin 26] hearing loss. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2010;136(1):81-7. [level 2+]

[28] Ballana E, Ventayol M, Rabionet R et al. Connexins and deafness Homepage. World wide web URL: <http://www.crg.es/deafness>

[29] Denoyelle F, Weil D, Maw MA et al. Prelingual deafness high prevalence of a 30 delG mutation in the connexin 26 gene. *Human Molecular Genetics* 1997;6:2173-7. [level 3]

[30] Kessell D, Dunlop J, Lench N, et al. Connexin 26 mutations in hereditary non-syndromic, sensorineural hearing deafness. *Nature* 1997;387: 80- 83. [level 3]

[31] Snoeckx R, Huygen P, Feldmann D et al. GJB2 mutations and degree of hearing loss: a multicenter study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005;77(6):945-57

[32] Cryns K, Orzan E, Murgia A et al. A genotype phenotype correlation for GJB2 (connexin 26) deafness. *J Med Genet.* 2004;41(3):147-54

[33] Volo T.,Leonardi E.,Ghiselli S. et al . The effect of environmental risk factors on GJB2/Cx26 and GJB6/Cx30 hearing loss. *Int J Ped Otorhinolaryngol,* 2011;75(8):0165-5876 (May 2011)

[34] Wilcox S, Saunders K Osborn A et al. High frequency hearing loss correlated with mutations in the GJB2 gene. *Hum Genet* (2000) 106 :399–405 [Level 2+]

[35] Wiley S, Arjmand E, Jareenmeinzen-Derr, et al. Findings from multidisciplinary evaluation of children with permanent hearing loss. *Int JPediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2011;75(8):1040-4. [level 3]

[36] Preciado D, Lawson L, Madden C at al. Improved diagnostic effectiveness with a sequential diagnostic paradigm in idiopathic pediatric Sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol* 2005;26(4):610-5

[37] Shearer A, DeLuca A, Hildebrand M, et al. Comprehensive genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss using massively parallel sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010. 7;107(49):21104-9 [level 3]

[38] Hoefsloot L, Roux A, Bitner-Glindzicz M. EMQN Best Practice guidelines for diagnostic testing of mutations causing non-syndromic hearing impairment at the DFNB1 locus. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2013;21: 1325–1329 [Guideline]

[39] Bamiou D, Phelps P, Sirimanna T. Temporal bone computed tomography findings in bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;82(3):257-60. [level 3]

[40] Lin J, Chowdhury N, Mody A, et al. Comprehensive Diagnostic Battery for Evaluating Sensorineural Hearing Loss in Children. *Otol Neurotol.* 2011;32(2): 259–264. [level 3]

[41] Mafong D, Shin E, Lalwani A. Use of Laboratory and Radiologic Imaging in the Diagnostic Evaluation of Children with Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Laryngoscope* 2002;112(1):1-7. [level 3]

[42] Licameli G, Kenna M, Is Computerised Tomography [CT] or Magnetic Resonance Imaging [MRI] more useful in the evaluation of pediatric sensorineural hearing loss? *Laryngoscope* 2010;120[12]:2358-9. [level 2+]

[43] Sennaroglu L, Saatci I, Aralasmak A, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging versus computed tomography in pre-operative evaluation of cochlear implant candidates with congenital hearing loss. *Journal of Laryngology Otology* 2002;116:804–810. [level 3]

[44] Yang J.,Li Y. Constitute, imaging and auditory characteristics of pediatric patients with congenital malformations of inner ear in sensorineural hearing loss. *Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi*. 2011;25(1):1-5. [Level 2+]

[45] McClay J, Booth T, Parry D, et al. Evaluation of pediatric sensorineural hearing loss with magnetic resonance imaging. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2008; 134(9): 945-952 [Level 2++/2+]

[46] Taiji H, Morimoto N, Matsunaga T. Unilateral cochlear nerve hypoplasia in children with mild to moderate hearing loss. *Acta Otolaryngol* 2012; 132(11): 1160-1167 [Level 3]

[47] Nikolopoulos P, Lioumi D, Stamataki S, et al. Evidence-based overview of ophthalmic disorders in deaf children: a literature update. *Otology and Neurotology* 2006. 27:51-524 [level 2++]

[48] Johnstone D, Curry J, Newborough B, et al. Ophthalmologic disorders in children with syndromic and non-syndromic hearing loss *Archives of otolaryngology Head Neck Surgery* 2010;136(3):277-280.[level 2-]

[49] Sharma A, Ruscetta M, Chi D. Ophthalmologic findings in children with sensorineural hearing loss. *Archives of otolaryngology Head Neck Surgery* 2009;135(2):119-123.[level 2+]

[50] Quality Standards in Vision Care for Deaf Children and Young People. Guidelines for professionals. [NDCS and SENSE 2009]. [Quality Standards Document]

[51] Abadie C, Blanchet C, Baux D et al. Audiological findings in 100 USH2 patients. *Clin Genet*. 2012;82(5):433-8.

[52] Savige J, Gregory M, Gross O, et al. Expert Guidelines for the Management of Alport Syndrome and Thin Basement Membrane Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013;24:364–375.[level3]

[53] Kashtan C, Ding J, Licht C. Clinical practice recommendations for the treatment of Alport syndrome: a statement of the Alport Syndrome Research Collaborative. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2013; 28(1):5-11. [Guideline]

[54] Raju P, Cimbaluk D, Corbet S. The variable course of women with Alport syndrome. *Clin Kidney J* 2013;6:630-634.[level 3]

[55] Alves F, de A Quintanilha Ribeiro F. Revision about hearing loss in the Alport's syndrome, analyzing the clinical, genetic and bio-molecular aspects. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* 2005;71:813-9. [level3]

[56] Alves F, Ribeiro F. Clinical data and hearing of individuals with Alport syndrome. *Revista Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia* 2008; 74(6) :807-14 [Level 3]

[57] Brown E, Chau J, Atashband S, et al. A systematic review of neonatal toxoplasmosis exposure and sensorineural hearing loss. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2009;73(5):707-11. [level 2++]

[58] de Jong E, Vossen A, Walther F, How to use...neonatal TORCH testing. *Arch Dis Child. Education and practice edition* 2013;98(3):93-8. [Review]

[59] Sánchez P, Wendel G, Norgard M. Congenital syphilis associated with negative results of maternal serologic tests at delivery. *Am J Dis Child* 1991; 145:967–969. [Review]

[60] Rawstron S, Mehta S, Bromberg K. Evaluation of a *Treponema pallidum*-specific IgM enzyme immunoassay and *Treponema pallidum* western blot antibody detection in the diagnosis of maternal and congenital syphilis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2004; 31:123–126[level 3]

[61] Torre P, Zeldow B, Hoffman H, et al. Hearing loss in perinatally HIV-infected and HIV-exposed but uninfected children and adolescents. *Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(8): 835-841 [level2-]

[62] Palacios G, Montalvo M, Fraire M et al. Audiologic and vestibular findings in a sample of Human Immunodeficiency Virus type-1-infected Mexican children under Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2008; 72(11):1671-1681 [Level 2+]

[63] Santos S, Sgambatti L, Bueno A et al. Enlarged vestibular aqueduct syndrome.

[64] Lindau, T ; Cardoso, A ; Rossi, N et al. Anatomical changes and Audiological profile in Branchio-oto-renal syndrome: A literature review. *Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2014; 18(01): 068-076 [Review]

[65] Morales Angulo C, Gallo-Terán J, Señaris B, et al Prevalence of the A1555G MTDNA mutation in sporadic hearing-impaired patients without known

history of aminoglycoside treatment. *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp.* 2011;62(2):83-6. [level 3]

[66] Wang R, Earl D et al. Syndromic ear anomalies and renal ultrasounds *Pediatrics.* 2001; 108(2):E32. [level 3]

[67] Bogazzi F, Russo D, Raggi F, et al. Mutations in the *SLC26A4* (pendrin) gene in patients with sensorineural deafness and enlarged vestibular aqueduct. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2004, 27(5):430-5. [level 2-]

[68] Iwasaki S, Tsukamoto K, Usami S, et al Association of *SLC26A4* mutations with clinical features and thyroid function in deaf infants with enlarged vestibular aqueduct. *J Hum Genet.* 2006;51(9):805-10. [level 2-]

[69] Reddy M, Satyanarayana V, Hemabindu L, et al. Immunological studies in children with hearing impairment. *J Indian Med Assoc.* 2005;103(10):520-1. [level 3]

[70] Agrup C, Luxon L. Immune-mediated inner-ear disorders in neuro-otology *Current Opinion in Neurology* 2006;19:26–32. [Review]

[71] Raglan E, Radomskij P et al An audio-vestibular study of 128 children presenting to a specialised paediatric audio-vestibular clinic: Should every child with hearing impairment have vestibular function assessed? *Audiological Medicine.* 2009; 7:143-147 [level 3]

[72] De Kegel A, Maes L, Baetens T, et al. The influence of a vestibular dysfunction on the motor development of hearing-impaired children. *Laryngoscope.* 2012;122(12):2837-43. [level 3]

Appendix 1: Keywords

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| aetiological test/aetiology | Alport syndrome | autoimmune/immunological |
| blood test | biochemistry | BOR |
| child/children | chromosomal analysis | clinical examination |
| CMV/ cytomegalovirus | connexin/GJB | CT |
| ECG/electrocardiogram | ERG/electroretinogram | full blood count |
| genetic | Guthrie | haematology |
| herpes | history | HIV/Human immunodeficiency virus |
| IgG avidity | Jervell Lange Nielsen syndrome/long QT | kidney /renal function/U & E/urea electrolytes |
| kidney/renal ultrasound | liver function | measles |
| metabolic screen | mitochondrial mutation | mild/moderate hearing loss |
| MRI | mumps | neonatal blood spot |
| neonatal/perinatal history | ophthalmology/eye | parent/sibling/family audiogram |
| PCHI/permanent childhood hearing loss/hearing impairment | permanent conductive hearing loss | Pendred syndrome |
| rubella | sensorineural hearing loss | serology |
| syndrome | syphilis | thyroid function test |
| toxoplasma | Usher | varicella |
| vestibular | Urine/saliva /mouth swab PCR | urine |

Appendix 2: Abbreviations:

BAAP British Association of Audiovestibular Physicians
 BAPA British Association of Paediatricians in Audiology
 BOR Branchio oto renal syndrome
 CMV Cytomegalovirus
 EVA Enlarged Vestibular Acqueduct
 PCHI Permanent Childhood Hearing Impairment
 PCR Polymerase Chain Reaction
 FBC Full Blood Count
 ESR Erythrocyte Sedimentation rate
 ERG Electro-retinography
 U & E Urea and electrolytes
 TFT Thyroid function test

Appendix 3: Useful parent resources

- NDCS publications: "Understanding your child's hearing tests", "Cytomegalovirus(CMV) and deafness", "Enlarged vestibular aqueduct syndrome", "Genetic counselling", "Meningitis and childhood deafness", "Waardenburg's syndrome"
- Quality Standards in Vision Care for Deaf Children and Young People. Guidelines for professionals. [NDCS and SENSE 2009].
- CMV action: cmvaction.org.uk

Appendix 4: Audit Measures

The BAAP national audit proforma can be used to benchmark practice. This is attached separately as an excel chart.

Appendix 5: Future Research

The evidence to support aetiological investigations in mild to moderate permanent hearing loss is thin. Areas of research that could help to support an evidence base include

- Yield of aetiological battery and individual aetiological tests/ assessments in children with mild to moderate PCHI
- Yield of history and clinical examination using a prospective study
- Systematic review of studies on aetiological investigations in mild to moderate permanent hearing loss
- There are questions regarding the evidence base for the extent and frequency of Ophthalmic assessment. There is an urgent need to assess the yield and the best regime for assessment.
- Indications and timing of thyroid function tests in PCHI
- Cost benefit analysis of aetiological investigations

Appendix 6: Authorship and Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to the librarians at the RNID library at Royal National Throat Nose and Ear Hospital for their help in procuring articles and for their help with the literature search. The authors would like to express their special thanks to Professor Maria Bitner-Glindzicz, Dr Jan Casselman, Miss Lucilla Butler, Dr Juan Kaski, Dr Simone Walter, Dr Susan Snashall and Professor Valerie Newton for their expert opinions and to Dr Katherine Harrop-Griffiths for allowing inclusion of the BAAP national audit proforma in this guideline. The authors acknowledge the help provided by colleagues who contributed to the consultation process and the advisory role of the BAAP executive committee in editing the document.

Authors:

Dr Waheeda Pagarkar, Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, University College London Hospitals Foundation NHS Trust, London

Dr Anuradha Shetye, Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, East and North Herts NHS Trust, Lister Hospital, Stevenage

Guideline group members:

Dr Alpana Kulkarni [A], Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, Hertfordshire Community NHS Trust

Dr Waheeda Pagarkar [B], Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, University College London Hospitals Foundation NHS Trust, London

Anuradha Shetye [C], Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, East and North Herts NHS Trust, Lister Hospital, Stevenage

Dr S N Vijayanand [D], Consultant in Paediatric Audiovestibular Medicine, St Michael's Hospital, Oak Lane Clinic and Edgware Community Hospital, Royal Free Hospitals NHS Trust, London

Dr Priya Somasegaram [E], Consultant in Audiovestibular Medicine, University College London Hospitals Foundation NHS Trust, London

Lay Members:

Ms Caroline Star [F], Parent of child with hearing loss, Chair of CMV Action

Mr Prashant Jain [G], Parent of child with hearing loss

Date of Review: June 2019